arXiv:1610.01278v2 [math.DG] 5 Jan 2017

RIEMANNIAN M-SPACES WITH HOMOGENEOUS GEODESICS

ANDREAS ARVANITOYEORGOS, YU WANG* AND GUOSONG ZHAO

Abstract. We investigate homogeneous geodesics in a class of homogeneous spaces called M-spaces, which are defined as follows. Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $K = C(S) = S \times K_1$, where S is a torus in a compact simple Lie group G and K_1 is the semisimple part of K. Then the *associated M-space* is the homogeneous space G/K_1. These spaces were introduced and studied by H.C. Wang in 1954. We prove that for various classes of M-spaces, the only g.o. metric is the standard metric. For other classes of M-spaces we give either necessary or necessary and sufficient conditions so that a G-invariant metric on G/K_1 is a g.o. metric. The analysis is based on properties of the isotropy representation $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s$ of the flag manifold G/K, in particular on the dimension of the submodules $\mathfrak{m}_i$.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 53C25. Secondary 53C30.

Key words. Generalized flag manifold; isotropy representation; M-space; $\mathfrak{t}$-roots; homogeneous geodesic; geodesic vector; g.o. space.

Introduction

Let (M, g) be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, i.e. a connected Riemannian manifold on which the largest connected group G of isometries acts transitively. Then M can be expressed as a homogeneous space $(G/K, g)$, where K is the isotropy group at a fixed pointed o of M, and g is a G-invariant metric. A geodesic $\gamma(t)$ through the origin o of $M = G/K$ is called *homogeneous* if it is an orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of G, that is

$$\gamma(t) = \exp(tX)(o), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

where X is a non zero vector of $\mathfrak{g}$.

A homogeneous Riemannian manifold $M = G/K$ is called a *g.o. space*, if all geodesics are homogeneous with respect to the largest connected group of isometries $I_o(M)$. A G-invariant metric g on M is called *G-g.o.* if all geodesics are homogeneous with respect to the group $G \subseteq I_o(M)$. Of course a G-g.o. metric is a g.o. metric, but the converse is not true in general. *In this paper we only consider G-g.o. metrics, which we also call them g.o. metrics.*

Naturally reductive spaces, symmetric spaces and weekly symmetric spaces are g.o. spaces ([11], [9], [18], [28]). In [19] O. Kowalski, F. Prüfer and L. Vanhecke gave an explicit classification of all naturally reductive spaces up to dimension five. In [21] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke gave a classification of all g.o. spaces, which are in no way naturally reductive, up to dimension six. In [17] C. Gordon described g.o. spaces which are nilmanifolds, and in [26] H. Tamaru classified homogeneous g.o. spaces which are fibered over irreducible symmetric spaces. In [12] and [14] O. Kowalski and Z. Dušek investigated homogeneous geodesics in Heisenberg groups and some H-type groups. Examples of g.o. spaces in dimension seven were obtained by Dušek, O. Kowalski and S. Nikčević in [15]. In [2] the first author and D.V. Alekseevsky classified generalized flag manifolds which are g.o. spaces. Recently, the first two authors classified generalized Wallach spaces which are g.o. spaces ([8]). Other interesting results about g.o. spaces

can be found in [3], [7], [10], [13], [16], [20], [22], [23], [24], [25] and [29]. Finally, the notion of homogeneous geodesics can be extended to geodesics which are orbits of a product of two exponential factors (cf. [6]).

The general problem of classification of compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds $(M = G/K, g)$ with homogeneous geodesics remains open.

The object of the present paper is to study homogeneous geodesics in M-spaces. These spaces were introduced and studied by H.C. Wang in [27] and are defined as follows: Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $K = C(S) = S \times K_1$, where S is a torus in a compact simple Lie group G and K_1 is the semisimple part of K. Then the *corresponding M-space* is the homogeneous space G/K_1.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of the Lie groups G and K respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant reductive decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{m} \cong T_o(G/K)$. This is orthogonal with respect to $B = -\text{Killing from on } \mathfrak{g}$. Assume that

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s \tag{2}$$

is a B-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$ into pairwise inequivalent irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-modules.

Let G/K_1 be the corresponding M-space and $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ be the Lie algebras of S and K_1 respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ the tangent space $T_o(G/K_1)$, where $o = eK_1$. A G-invariant metric g on G/K_1 induces a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ which is $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant. Such an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ can be expressed as $\langle x, y \rangle = B(\Lambda x, y)$ $(x, y \in \mathfrak{n})$, where Λ is an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-equivariant positive definite symmetric operator on $\mathfrak{n}$. Conversely, any such operator Λ determines an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product $\langle x, y \rangle = B(\Lambda x, y)$ on $\mathfrak{n}$, which in turn determines a G-invariant Riemannian metric g on $\mathfrak{n}$. We say that Λ is the *operator associated* to the metric g, or simply the *associated operator*. A Riemannian metric generated by the inner product $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called *standard metric*. An M-space G/K_1 with the standard metric is g.o., since it is naturally reductive.

The main results of the paper are the following:

Theorem 1. *Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $s \geq 3$ in the decomposition (2). Let G/K_1 be the corresponding M-space.*

1) If $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$ $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$ and $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space, then

$$g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s}, \ (\mu, \lambda > 0).$$

2) If there exists some $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_j = 2$, then $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if g is the standard metric.

For a generalized flag manifold with two isotropy summands we always assume that it satisfies $[\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$. So it does not occur $\dim \mathfrak{m}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$, since G is simple. If there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i = 2$, we obtain that $i = 2$ (see also convention on [Ar-Ch]).

Theorem 2. *Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $s = 2$ in the decomposition (2). Let G/K_1 be the corresponding M-space.*

1) If $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 \neq 2$ then $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if for every $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and for every $X = X_1 + X_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ $(X_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i, i = 1, 2)$, there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that

$$[\mu_1 k + (\mu_1 - \mu)V + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)X_2, X_1] = 0 \quad \textit{and}$$

$$[\mu_2 k + (\mu_2 - \mu)V, X_2] = 0.$$

Here $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{s}} + \mu_1 B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \mu_2 B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$ *is any* G*-invariant metric on* G/K_1.

2) If $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$ *and* $(G/K_1, g)$ *is a g.o. space, then*

$$g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{m}_2} + \mu_1 B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{m}_1} . \tag{3}$$

Corollary 1. *Let* G/K *be a generalized flag manifold with* $s = 2$ *and* $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$. *Then the corresponding* M*-space* $(G/K_1, g)$*, where* g *is given by (3), is a g.o. space for every* $\mu \neq \mu_1$ *if and only if for every* $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ *and for every* $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ *there exists* $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ *such that*

$$[k + V + X_2, X_1] = 0. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 3. *Let* G/K *be a generalized flag manifold with corresponding* M*-space* $(G/K_1, g)$.

1) If $G = G_2$*, then* $(G_2/K_1, g)$ *is a g.o. space if and only if* g *is the standard metric.*

2) If $G = F_4$*, then the standard metric is the only g.o. metric on* F_4/K_1*, unless* $K_1 = SU(2) \times SU(3)$*, or* $K_1 = SO(7)$.

3) If $G = E_6$*, then the standard metric is the only g.o. metric on* E_6/K_1*, unless* K_1 *is one of* $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(2)$*,* $SU(5) \times SU(2)$*,* $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$*,* $SO(8)$*, or* $SO(10)$.

By a result of H. Tamaru [26] it follows that the M-space $E_6/SO(10)$ admits non-naturally reductive g.o. metrics.

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 1 we recall certain Lie theoretic properties of a generalized flag manifold G/K and corresponding M-space G/K_1. Then we give a simple necessary and sufficient condition so that an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-irreducible submodule $\mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i \in \{1, \ldots, s\})$ of the decomposition (2) is also $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible (cf. Lemma 2). In Section 2 we recall basic facts about g.o. spaces. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we give the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 and Corollaries 1 .

Acknowledgements. The authors express their thanks to the referee for the detailed comments which improved the paper.

The first author was supported by Grant # E.037 from the research committee of the University of Patras. The second author is supported by NSFC 11501390, by Sichuan Province University Key Laboratory of Bridge Non-destruction Detecting and Engineering Computing 2014QZJ03, by funding of the Education Department of Sichuan Province 15ZB0218, 15ZA0230, 15SB0122, and by funding of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering grant 2014PY06, 2015RC10. The third author is supported by NSFC 11571242.

The second author acknowledges the hospitality of the Department of Mathematics of the University of Patras, during her visit 2015-16.

1. Generalized flag manifolds and M-spaces

Let $G/K = G/C(S)$ be a generalized flag manifold, where G is a compact semisimple Lie group and S is a torus in G, here $C(S)$ denotes the centralizer of S in G. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of the Lie groups G and K respectively, and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ be a reductive decomposition with respect to $B = -$Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ with $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Let T be a maximal torus containing S. Then this is maximal torus in K. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the Lie algebra of T and $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ its complex. Then $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let R be a root system $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{5}$$

the root space decomposition, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} : [H, X] = \alpha(H)X \ \ \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}\}. \tag{6}$$

Since $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ contains $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$, there is a subset R_K of R such that $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_K} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We choose a system of simple roots Π_K of R_K and a system of simple roots Π of R so that $\Pi_K \subset \Pi$. We choose an ordering in R^+. Then there is a natural ordering in R_K^+, so that $R_K^+ \subset R^+$. Set $R_M = R \setminus R_K$ (complementary roots). Set $R_M = R \setminus R_K$ (complementary roots). Then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_M} \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 1. *An invariant ordering R_M^+ in R_M is a choice of a subset $R_M^+ \subset R_M$ such that*
(i) $R = R_K \sqcup R_M^+ \sqcup R_M^-$, where $R_M^- = \{-\alpha : \alpha \in R_M^+\}$,
(ii) If $\alpha, \beta \in R_M^+$ and $\alpha + \beta \in R_M$, we have $\alpha + \beta \in R_M^+$,
(iii) If $\alpha \in R_M^+, \beta \in R_K^+$ and $\alpha + \beta \in R$, we have $\alpha + \beta \in R_M^+$.
For any $\alpha, \beta \in R_M^+$ we define $\alpha > \beta$ if and only if $\alpha - \beta \in R_M^+$.

We choose a Weyl basis $\{E_\alpha, H_\alpha : \alpha \in R\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $B(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) = 1, [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$ and

$$[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = \begin{cases} 0, & if \ \ \alpha + \beta \notin R \text{ and } \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \\ N_{\alpha,\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}, & if \ \ \alpha + \beta \in R, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where the structural constants $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ $(\neq 0)$ satisfy $N_{\alpha,\beta} = -N_{-\alpha,-\beta}$ and $N_{\beta,\alpha} = -N_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then we have that

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_K} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_M} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{8}$$

and $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in R_M\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$. It is well known that

$$\mathfrak{g}_\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1} H_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (\mathbb{R} A_\alpha + \mathbb{R} B_\alpha), \tag{9}$$

where $A_\alpha = E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}, B_\alpha = \sqrt{-1}(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha})$ $(\alpha \in R^+)$ is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence we can identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$. In fact $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ is the fixed point set of the conjugation $X + \sqrt{-1}Y \mapsto \overline{X + \sqrt{-1}Y} = X - \sqrt{-1}Y$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ so that $\overline{E_\alpha} = -E_{-\alpha}$. Hence $\mathfrak{k} = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1} H_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_K^+} (\mathbb{R} A_\alpha + \mathbb{R} B_\alpha)$. We set $R_M^+ = R^+ \setminus R_K^+$. Then

$$\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+} (\mathbb{R} A_\alpha + \mathbb{R} B_\alpha). \tag{10}$$

The next lemma gives us information about the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 1. *The Lie bracket among the elements of $\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, \sqrt{-1}H_\beta : \alpha \in R^+, \beta \in \Pi\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by*

$$[\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, A_\beta] = \beta(H_\alpha) B_\beta, \quad [A_\alpha, A_\beta] = N_{\alpha,\beta} A_{\alpha+\beta} + N_{-\alpha,\beta} A_{\alpha-\beta} \ (\alpha \neq \beta),$$
$$[\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, B_\beta] = -\beta(H_\alpha) A_\beta, \quad [B_\alpha, B_\beta] = -N_{\alpha,\beta} A_{\alpha+\beta} - N_{\alpha,-\beta} A_{\alpha-\beta} \ (\alpha \neq \beta),$$
$$[A_\alpha, B_\alpha] = 2\sqrt{-1} H_\alpha, \qquad [A_\alpha, B_\beta] = N_{\alpha,\beta} B_{\alpha+\beta} + N_{\alpha,-\beta} B_{\alpha-\beta} \ (\alpha \neq \beta),$$

where $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ are the structural constants in (7).

Definition 2. *Let $\Gamma(\Pi)$ be the Dynkin diagram of Π. By painting black in $\Gamma(\Pi)$ the simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Pi_M = \Pi \setminus \Pi_K$ we obtain the painted Dynkin diagram $\Gamma(\Pi_M)$ of a flag manifold $M = G/K$.*

Let $\Gamma(\Pi)$ be the Dynkin diagram of the simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ and let $\Pi_K = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ correspond to the semisimple part $\mathfrak{k}_1$ of $\mathfrak{k}$. We paint black the $l-k$ nodes of $\Gamma(\Pi)$ so that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1, \tag{11}$$

with $l-k$ copies of $\mathfrak{u}(1)$. Then the corresponding flag manifold will be denoted by $G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ The case where all the nodes of $\Gamma(\Pi)$ are painted black corresponds to the full flag manifold G/T of full flag, where T is a maximal torus in G.

For example, $E_6(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_6)$ corresponds to the painted Dynkin diagram



or to the flag manifold $E_6/SO(8) \times U(1) \times U(1)$.

Proposition 1 ([1])**.** *Different painted Dynkin diagrams Γ and Γ' (except for the case of $D_l = SO(2l)$) define isomorphic flag manifolds G/K and G/K', i.e. there is an automorphism $\phi \in Aut(G)$ such that $\phi(K) = K'$, if the subdiagrams Γ_1 and Γ_1' of white roots corresponding to Π_K and $\Pi_{K'}$ are isomorphic.*

An important invariant of a generalized flag manifold G/K is the set $R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of $\mathfrak{t}$-roots. Their importance arises from the fact that the knowledge of $R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ gives us crucial information about the decomposition of the isotropy representation of the flag manifold G/K.

For convenience, we fix a system of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ of R, so that $\Pi_K = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ is a basis of the root system R_K and $\Pi_M = \Pi \setminus \Pi_K = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ $(r + k = l)$. We consider the decomposition $R = R_K \cup R_M$ and let

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a} = \{X \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a} : \phi(X) = 0, \text{ for all } \phi \in R_K\}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the center of $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the restriction map $\kappa : (\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}})^* \to \mathfrak{t}^*$ defined by $\kappa(\alpha) = \alpha \mid_{\mathfrak{t}}$, and set $R_{\mathfrak{t}} = \kappa(R) = \kappa(R_M)$. Note that $\kappa(R_K) = 0$ and $\kappa(0) = 0$.

The elements of $R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ are called $\mathfrak{t}$-roots. For an invariant ordering $R_M^+ = R^+ \setminus R_K^+$ in R_M, we set $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \kappa(R_M^+)$ and $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^- = -R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \{-\xi : \xi \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+\}$. It is obvious that $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^- = \kappa(R_M^-)$, thus the splitting $R_{\mathfrak{t}} = R_{\mathfrak{t}}^- \cup R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ defines an ordering in $R_{\mathfrak{t}}$. A $\mathfrak{t}$-root $\xi \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ (respectively $\xi \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}^-$) will be called *positive* (respectively negative). A $\mathfrak{t}$-root is called *simple* if it is not a sum of two positive $\mathfrak{t}$-roots.

Definition 3 ([2])**.** *(1) Two $\mathfrak{t}$-roots $\xi, \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ are called adjacent if one of the following occurs:*

(i) If η is a multiple of ξ, then $\eta \neq \pm 2\xi$ and $\xi \neq \pm 2\eta$.

(ii) If η is not a multiple of ξ, then $\xi + \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ or $\xi - \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

(2) Two $\mathfrak{t}$-roots $\xi, \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ are called connected if there is a chain of $\mathfrak{t}$-roots

$$\xi = \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k = \eta$$

such that ξ_i, ξ_{i+1} are adjacent $(i = 1, \dots, k-1)$.

We remark that ξ and $\pm\xi$ are connected, and if $\xi, 2\xi$ are the only positive $\mathfrak{t}$-roots, then these are not connected. We define the relation

$$\xi \sim \eta \Leftrightarrow \xi, \eta \text{ are connected} \tag{13}$$

One can check easily that this is an equivalence relation. Let R^i be the equivalence classes consisting of mutually connected $\mathfrak{t}$-roots. Then the set $R_\mathfrak{t}$ is decomposed into a disjoint union

$$R_\mathfrak{t} = R^1 \cup \cdots \cup R^r. \tag{14}$$

Definition 4. *The set of $\mathfrak{t}$-roots $R_\mathfrak{t}$ is called connected if $r = 1$.*

Also, if G is simple, then for $s \geq 3$ in the decomposition (2), the set of $\mathfrak{t}$-roots is connected (cf. [2]).

Proposition 2 ([4])**.** *There is one-to-one correspondence between $\mathfrak{t}$-roots and complex irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}})$-submodules $\mathfrak{m}_\xi$ of $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$. This correspondence is given by*

$$R_\mathfrak{t} \ni \xi \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_\xi = \sum_{\alpha \in R_M : \kappa(\alpha) = \xi} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\xi \in R_\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{m}_\xi$. Moreover, these submodules are inequivalent as $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}})$-modules.

Since the complex conjugation $\tau : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $X + \sqrt{-1}Y \mapsto X - \sqrt{-1}Y$ $(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the compact real form $\mathfrak{g}$ interchanges the root spaces, i.e. $\tau(E_\alpha) = E_{-\alpha}$ and $\tau(E_{-\alpha}) = E_\alpha$, a decomposition of the real $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-module $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}})^\tau$ into real irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-submodule is given by

$$\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{\xi \in R_\mathfrak{t}^+ = \kappa(R_M^+)} (\mathfrak{m}_\xi \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{-\xi})^\tau, \tag{15}$$

where V^τ denotes the set of fixed points of the complex conjugation τ in a vector subspace $V \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. If, for simplicity, we set $R_\mathfrak{t}^+ = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$, then according to (15) each real irreducible $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-submodule $\mathfrak{m}_i = (\mathfrak{m}_{\xi_i} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{-\xi_i})^\tau$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ corresponding to the positive $\mathfrak{t}$ -roots ξ_i, is given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_i = \sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \kappa(\alpha) = \xi_i} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha). \tag{16}$$

Definition 5 ([27])**.** *Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with G simple and $K = C(S) = S \times K_1$, where S is a torus in G and K_1 is the semisimple part of K, here $C(S)$ denotes the centralizer of S in G. The homogeneous space G/K_1 is called the corresponding M-space.*

Let $\mathfrak{k}_1$ be the Lie algebra of Lie group K_1 and R_{K_1} be the root system of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_1$. Then the Lie algebra of K is given by $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$, so we get $R_K = R_{K_1}$.

The following Lemma is important in our study.

Lemma 2. *An $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-irreducible submodule $\mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i \in \{1, \ldots, s\})$ is also an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible submodule if and only if $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i = 2$. By (16) it follows that there exists unique $\tilde{\alpha} \in R_M^+$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_{\tilde{\alpha}}, B_{\tilde{\alpha}}\}$. So for any $\alpha \in R_{K_1}$ we get that $\alpha \pm \tilde{\alpha} \notin R$. Since $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha : \alpha \in R_{K_1}^+\}$, we obtain that $X = \sum_{\alpha \in R_{K_1}^+} (x_\alpha \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha + y_\alpha A_\alpha + z_\alpha B_\alpha)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, where $x_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\alpha \pm \tilde{\alpha} \notin R$ for any $\alpha \in R_{K_1}^+$, it follows that $[A_\alpha, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = [A_\alpha, B_{\tilde{\alpha}}] =$

$[B_\alpha, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = [B_\alpha, B_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = 0$. Also, we have $[\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = \tilde{\alpha}(H_\alpha)B_{\tilde{\alpha}}, [\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, B_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = -\tilde{\alpha}(H_\alpha)A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, and $\tilde{\alpha}(H_\alpha) = (\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha) = \frac{p-q}{2}(\alpha, \alpha)$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and p, q are the maximal positive integers such that $\tilde{\alpha} - p\alpha \in R$ and $\tilde{\alpha} + q\alpha \in R$. Since $\alpha \pm \tilde{\alpha} \notin R$, this implies that $p = q = 0$. It follows that $[\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = [\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}] = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $\mathbb{R}B_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ are $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible submodules. This means that $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is not $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, let $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s\}$ be a set of positive $\mathfrak{t}$-roots for the generalized flag manifold G/K. Set

$$R_i^+ = \{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \ \kappa(\alpha) = \xi_i\}, \ (i = 1, \ldots, s). \tag{17}$$

We have $[h, A_\alpha] = \alpha(h)B_\alpha$, $[h, B_\alpha] = -\alpha(h)A_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in R_i^+$ and any $h \in \mathfrak{s}$. Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, the cardinality of the set number R_i^+ is at least 2. Then for any $\alpha \in R_i^+$ there exists $\gamma \in R_{K_1}$ and $\beta \in R_i^+$ such that either $\gamma + \beta = \alpha$ or $\gamma - \beta = \alpha$. By Lemma 1 we obtain that $[\sqrt{-1}H_\gamma, A_\alpha] = \alpha(H_\gamma)B_\alpha$ and $[\sqrt{-1}H_\gamma, B_\alpha] = -\alpha(H_\gamma)A_\alpha$. This implies that $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subseteq [\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{m}_i]$, so we have that $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_i] = [\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{m}_i] = [\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{m}_i]$. therefore an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-irreducible submodule $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible if $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$.

□

2. Riemannian g.o. spaces

Let $(M = G/K, g)$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with G a compact connected semisimple Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of G and K respectively and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ be a reductive decomposition.

Definition 6. *A nonzero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a geodesic vector if the curve (1) is a geodesic.*

Lemma 3 ([21])**.** *A nonzero vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a geodesic vector if and only if*

$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0, \tag{18}$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Here the subscript $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the projection into $\mathfrak{m}$.

A useful description of homogeneous geodesics (1) is provided by the following :

Proposition 3 ([2])**.** *Let $(M = G/K, g)$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold and Λ be the associated operator. Let $a \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) The orbit $\gamma(t) = \exp t(a+x) \cdot o$ of the one-parameter subgroup $\exp t(a+x)$ through the point $o = eK$ is a geodesic of M.

(2) $[a + x, \Lambda x] \in \mathfrak{k}$.

(3) $\langle [a, x], y \rangle = \langle x, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle$ *for all* $y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

(4) $\langle [a + x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, x \rangle = 0$ *for all* $y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

An important corollary of Proposition 3 is the following:

Corollary 2 ([2])**.** *Let $(M = G/K, g)$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Then $(M = G/K, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if for every $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists an $a(x) \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that*

$$[a(x) + x, \Lambda x] \in \mathfrak{k}. \tag{19}$$

For later use we recall the following:

Proposition 4. ([3, Proposition 5]) *Let $(M = G/H, g)$ be a compact g.o. space with associated operator Λ. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ be eigenvectors Λ with different eigenvalues λ, μ. Then*

$$[X, Y] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \mu}[h, X] + \frac{\mu}{\lambda - \mu}[h, Y] \tag{20}$$

for some $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Proposition 5. ([17], [26]) *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group and $H \supset K$ be compact Lie subgroups in G. Let M_F and M_C be the tangent spaces of $F = H/K$ and $C = G/H$ respectively. Then the metric $g_{a,b} = aB\mid_{M_F} + bB\mid_{M_C}, (a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+)$ is a g.o. metric on G/K if and only if for any $v_F \in M_F$, $v_C \in M_C$ there exists $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that*

$$[X, v_F] = [X + v_F, v_C] = 0.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let G/K be a generalized flag manifold with $K = C(S) = S \times K_1$, where S is a torus in the simple compact Lie group G and K_1 is the semisimple part of K. Then the corresponding M-space is G/K_1. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ the Lie algebras of G and K respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ be the $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-invariant orthogonal decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $B = -$Killing form. Then the module $\mathfrak{m}$ decomposes into a direct sum of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-invariant irreducible submodules pairwise orthogonal with respect to B (cf. (2)).

Proof of part 1) of Theorem 1.

Let $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_1$ be the Lie algebras of the Lie groups S and K_1 respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ the tangent space $T_o(G/K_1)$, where $o = eK_1$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$ $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$ in the decomposition (2), Lemma 2 implies that each $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-irreducible submodule $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is also $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible, so we get that

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s. \tag{21}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = B(\Lambda \cdot, \cdot)$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{n}$, where Λ is the associated operator. The operator Λ is expressed as

$$\Lambda = \mu \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda_1 \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \lambda_2 \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{m}_2} + \cdots + \lambda_s \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{m}_s}, \ (\mu, \lambda_i > 0), \tag{22}$$

therefore we have that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda_1 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \lambda_2 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_2} + \cdots + \lambda_s B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_s}. \tag{23}$$

Let $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ = \{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_s\}$ be the set of positive $\mathfrak{t}$-roots of the generalized flag manifold G/K with $s \geq 3$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ is connected, for any $\xi, \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ there exists (without loss of generality) a chain of positive $\mathfrak{t}$-roots

$$\xi = \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_k = \eta, \tag{24}$$

where ζ_i, ζ_{i+1} are adjacent $(i = 1, \ldots, k-1)$.

We define the subset $\{\mathfrak{m}_{i_1}, \mathfrak{m}_{i_2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{i_k}\}$ of $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_s\}$ by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{i_q} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_q} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha), \ (q = 1, \ldots, k). \tag{25}$$

Since ζ_q, ζ_{q+1} $(q = 1, \dots, k-1)$ are adjacent, then either $\zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1} \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$ or $\zeta_{q+1} - \zeta_q \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Therefore, we have

$$[\mathfrak{m}_{i_q}, \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}}] \subseteq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1}} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{\alpha \in R_M : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_{q+1} - \zeta_q} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) \right).$$

If $\zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1} \notin R_{\mathfrak{t}}$, then $\sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_{q+1} + \zeta_q} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) = \{0\}$. If $\zeta_{q+1} - \zeta_q \notin R_{\mathfrak{t}}$, then $\sum_{\alpha \in R_M : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_{q+1} - \zeta_q} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) = \{0\}$. Since $\zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1} \neq \pm\zeta_q$, $\zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1} \neq \pm\zeta_{q+1}$ and $\zeta_q - \zeta_{q+1} \neq \pm\zeta_q$, $\zeta_q - \zeta_{q+1} \neq \pm\zeta_{q+1}$, it follows that

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in R_M^+ : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_q + \zeta_{q+1}} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_M : \kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_{q+1} - \zeta_q} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha) \right) \cap \big(\mathfrak{m}_{i_q} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}} \big) = 0.$$

Therefore we get that

$$[\mathfrak{m}_{i_q}, \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}}] \cap (\mathfrak{m}_{i_q} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}}) = \{0\}. \tag{26}$$

Also, since ζ_q, ζ_{q+1} are adjacent $(q = 1, \dots, k-1)$ in (24), there exist $X \in \mathfrak{m}_{i_q}, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}}$ eigenvectors of Λ such that $[X, Y] \neq 0$. If we had that $\lambda_{i_q} \neq \lambda_{i_{q+1}}$, then Proposition 4 implies that $[X, Y] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i_q} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{i_{q+1}}$, which contradicts (26), hence $\lambda_{i_q} = \lambda_{i_{q+1}}, (q = 1, \dots, k-1)$. Since this is true for any $\xi, \eta \in R_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ we obtain that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_s$, and the conclusion follows.

Proof of part 2) of Theorem 1.

We may assume that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i = 2$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ for some $r \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$ for $i = r+1, \dots, s$ in the decomposition (2). Notice that $\mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ are not $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible submodules. Set $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{n}_1^i \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2^i$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$, where $\dim \mathfrak{n}_1^i = \dim \mathfrak{n}_2^i = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{n}_1^i, \mathfrak{n}_2^i$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i = r+1, \dots, s)$ are $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible submodules. Then we get

$$\mathfrak{n} \cong T_o(G/K_1) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2^1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^r \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2^r \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{r+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_s. \tag{27}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = B(\Lambda \cdot, \cdot)$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{n}$, where Λ is the associated operator. The operator Λ is expressed as

$$\Lambda = \mu \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda_1^1 \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{n}_1^1} + \lambda_2^1 \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{n}_2^1} + \cdots + \lambda_1^r \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{n}_1^r} + \lambda_2^r \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{n}_2^r} + \lambda_{r+1} \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{m}_{r+1}} + \cdots + \lambda_s \mathrm{Id}|_{\mathfrak{m}_s}. \tag{28}$$

Therefore we have

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda_1^1 B|_{\mathfrak{n}_1^1} + \lambda_2^1 B|_{\mathfrak{n}_2^1} + \cdots + \lambda_1^r B|_{\mathfrak{n}_1^r} + \lambda_2^r B|_{\mathfrak{n}_2^r} + \lambda_{r+1} B|_{\mathfrak{m}_{r+1}} + \cdots + \lambda_s B|_{\mathfrak{m}_s}. \tag{29}$$

If $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space, then Corollary 2 implies that for any $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $[k + V + X, \Lambda(V + X)] \in \mathfrak{k}_1$. We choose $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1^1$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_1 = 2$ it follows that $[k, X] = 0$. Since $[k, V] = 0$ and [k+V, V]=0, we have $[k + V + X, \Lambda(V + X)] = (\lambda_1^1 - \mu)[V, X] \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, hence $(\lambda_1^1 - \mu)[V, X] = 0$. Hence, there exists $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1^1$ such that $[V, X] \neq 0$. This implies that $\lambda_1^1 = \mu$. Similarly, we can obtain that $\lambda_2^1 = \mu$. In the same way we get that $\lambda_1^i = \lambda_2^i = \mu$ $(i = 2, \dots, r)$, thus the g.o. metric (29) reduces to

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \cdots + \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_r} + \lambda_{r+1} B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_{r+1}} + \cdots + \lambda_s B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_s}. \tag{30}$$

Since $s \geq 3$, the set of $\mathfrak{t}$-roots is connected, hence if the metric (30) is a g.o. metric, we get $\lambda_{r+1} = \cdots = \lambda_s = \mu$, that is g is the standard metric.

The converse of part 2) of Theorem 1 is obvious.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of part 1) of Theorem 2.

Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i \neq 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in the decomposition (2), Lemma 2 implies that any $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$-invariant irreducible module $\mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-irreducible. If $\mathfrak{n}$ is the tangent space $T_o(G/K_1)$ at $o = eK_1$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2. \tag{31}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = B(\Lambda \cdot, \cdot)$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{n}$, where Λ is the associated operator. Then we have

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \mu_1 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \mu_2 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_2}, \ (\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0). \tag{32}$$

By Corollary 2 $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if for every $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that

$$[k + V + X, \Lambda(V + X)] \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{33}$$

Let $X = X_1 + X_2, X_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Then (33) is equivalent to

$$[k + V + X_1 + X_2, \mu V + \mu_1 X_1 + \mu_2 X_2] \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{34}$$

Since $[k + V, V] = 0$, (34) reduces to

$$([k + V, \mu_1 X_1] + [k + V, \mu_2 X_2] + [X_1, \mu V] + [X_2, \mu V] + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)[X_2, X_1]) \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{35}$$

Since $[\mathfrak{m}_2, \mathfrak{m}_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_1$, it follows that $([k+V, \mu_1 X_1]+[X_1, \mu V]+(\mu_1-\mu_2)[X_2, X_1]) \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $([k + V, \mu_2 X_2] + [X_2, \mu V]) \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, (35) is equivalent to

$$[\mu_1 k + (\mu_1 - \mu)V + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)X_2, X_1] = 0 \tag{36}$$

and

$$([\mu_2 k + (\mu_2 - \mu)V, X_2]) = 0, \tag{37}$$

and this completes the proof.

Proof of part 2) of Theorem 2.

Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$. Then we have that $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2$ are $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$-invariant irreducible submodules, and $\mathfrak{n} \cong T_o(G/K_1) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{n}$ given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \mu_1 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \mu_2^1 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{n}_1} + \mu_2^2 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{n}_2}. \tag{38}$$

If $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space, by Corollary 2 we have that for any $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $[k + V + X, \Lambda(V + X)] \in \mathfrak{k}_1$. We choose $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_i = 2$ it is $[k, X] = 0$. Since $[k, V] = 0$ and [k+V, V]=0, we have $[k + V + X, \Lambda(V + X)] = (\mu_2^1 - \mu)[V, X] \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, hence $(\mu_2^1 - \mu)[V, X] = 0$. Here, there exists $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1^1$ such that $[V, X] \neq 0$. This implies that $\mu_2^1 = \mu$. Similarly, we can obtain that $\mu_2^2 = \mu$, therefore we get $\mu_2^1 = \mu_2^2 = \mu$.

Hence, if $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space, then the corresponding $\mathrm{Ad}(K_1)$-invariant scalar product (38) reduces to

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2} + \mu_1 B(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, \tag{39}$$

and this completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.

By Corollary 2 $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if for any $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and for any $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that

$$[k + V + X_1 + X_2, \mu(V + X_2) + \mu_1 X_1] \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{40}$$

Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$, it follows that $[k, X_2] = 0$. Also, $[k+V, V] = 0$, so (40) reduces to

$$[\mu_1 k + (\mu_1 - \mu)V + (\mu_1 - \mu)X_2, X_1] \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{41}$$

Since $\mu_1 \neq \mu$, (41) is equivalent to

$$[\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 - \mu}k + V + X_2, X_1] \in \mathfrak{k}_1. \tag{42}$$

Since $[\mathfrak{m}_2, \mathfrak{m}_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_1$, it follows that $[\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1-\mu}k + V + X_2, X_1] \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, then we obtain that

$$[\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 - \mu}k + V + X_2, X_1] = 0. \tag{43}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of part 1) of Theorem 3.

There are three non isomorphic flag manifolds corresponding to the exceptional Lie group G_2. Indeed, there are exactly three different ways to paint black the simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_2$: these are $G_2(0), G_2(\alpha_1), G_2(\alpha_2)$.

The flag manifolds $G_2(0), G_2(\alpha_2)$ satisfy case 2) of Theorem 1, so the corresponding M-space $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if g is the standard metric.

For the flag manifold $G_2(\alpha_1)$ it is $s = 2$ in the decomposition (2), where $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_{\alpha_1}, B_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}, B_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}, A_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}, B_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}, A_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2}, B_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2}\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}$ $\{A_{2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2}, B_{2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2}\}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$, Corollary 1 implies that $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space for every $\mu \neq \mu_1$ if and only if for every $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and for every $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $[k + V + X_2, X_1] = 0$.

We choose non zero vectors $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X_2 = A_{2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, $X_1 = A_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. By Lemma 1 we have that $[V + X_2, X_1] = \sqrt{-1}(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)(V)B_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2} + N_{-(2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2),(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2)}$ A_{α_1}. Since $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_2}, A_{\alpha_2}, B_{\alpha_2}\}$, it follows that $[k, X_1] = [k, A_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2}]$ does not have A_{α_1}-component, that is $[k + V + X_2, X_1] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. So the standard metric is the only g.o. metric on $(G/K_1, g)$.

Proof of part 2) of Theorem 3.

There are eleven non isomorphic flag manifolds corresponding to the exceptional Lie group F_4. Indeed, there are exactly eleven different ways to paint black the simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{f}_4$. These are $F_4(0)$, $F_4(\alpha_4)$, $F_4(\alpha_1)$, $F_4(\alpha_3, \alpha_4)$, $F_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $F_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_4)$, $F_4(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, $F_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$, $F_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4)$, $F_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, $F_4(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$.

The first seven flag manifolds satisfy case 2) of Theorem 1, so the corresponding M-space $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if g is the standard metric.

For the flag manifold $F_4(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$, let $\kappa(\alpha_1) = \bar{\alpha}_1$. Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$, where $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \{\mathbb{R}A_\alpha, \mathbb{R}B_\alpha : \kappa(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}_1\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \{\mathbb{R}A_\alpha, \mathbb{R}B_\alpha : \kappa(\alpha) = 2\bar{\alpha}_1\}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{m}_2 = 2$, Corollary 1 implies that $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space for every $\mu \neq \mu_1$ if and only if for any $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_2$ there exists $k \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $[k + V + X_2, X_1] = 0$.

We choose nonzero vectors $V \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $X_2 = A_{2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, $X_1 = A_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4} \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. By Lemma 1 we have that $[V+X_2, X_1] = \sqrt{-1}(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)(V)B_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4}+$

$N_{-(2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4),(\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4)}A_{\alpha_1}$. Since $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, A_\beta, B_\beta : \alpha \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}, \beta \in R_K\}$, it follows that $[k, X_1] = [k, A_{\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4}]$ does not have A_{α_1}-component. Therefore we get that $[k + V + X_2, X_1] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. So the only g.o. metric on the corresponding M-space $(G/K_1, g)$ is the standard metric.

Proof part 3) of Theorem 3 (sketch).

There are sixteen non isomorphic flag manifolds corresponding to the exceptional Lie group E_6, since there are exactly sixteen different ways to paint black the simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$ in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{e}_6$. These are $E_6(0)$, $E_6(\alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_3, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$, $E_6(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_6)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_6)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$, $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$.

The first ten flag manifolds satisfy case 2) of Theorem 1, so the corresponding M-space $(G/K_1, g)$ is a g.o. space if and only if g is the standard metric.

For the M-space corresponding to the flag manifold $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ the standard metric is the only g.o. metric. The proof is similar to part 2) above.

Finally, as shown in [26], the M-space $(G/K_1, g)$ corresponding to $E_6(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_6)$ is a g.o. space for every $\mu, \lambda > 0$, where $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \mu B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{s}} + \lambda B(\cdot, \cdot) \mid_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

References

[1] D.V. Alekseevsky: *Flag manifolds*, in Sbornik Radova, 11th Jugoslav. Geom. Seminar. Beograd. 6 (14) (1997) 3-35.

[2] D.V. Alekseevsky and A. Arvanitoyeorgos: *Riemannian flag manifolds with homogeneous geodesics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007) 3769–3789.

[3] D.V. Alekseevsky and Yu. G. Nikonorov: *Compact Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics*, SIGMA: Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 5(93) (2009) 16 pages.

[4] D. V. Alekseevsky and A. M. Perelomov: *Invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact homogeneous spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 20 (1986) 171–182.

[5] A. Arvanitoyeorgos and I. Chrysikos: *Motion of charged parhicles and homogeneous geodesics in kähler C-spaces with two isotropy summands,* Tokyo J. Math. 32(2) (2009) 487–500.

[6] A. Arvanitoyeorgos and N.P. Souris: *Geodesics in generalized Wallach spaces*, J. Geom. 106 (2015) 583–603.

[7] A. Arvanitoyeorgos: *Homogeneous geodesics in the flag manifold* $SO(2l+1)/U(l-m) \times SO(2m+1)$, Linear Alg. Appl. 428 (2008) 1117–1126.

[8] A. Arvanitoyeorgos and Y. Wang: *Homogeneous geodesics in generalized Wallach spaces*, arXiv: 1603.06913.

[9] J. Berndt, O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke:*Geodesies in weakly symmetric spaces, Ann. Global analsis and Geom*, 15 (1997) 153–156.

[10] G. Calvaruso and R.A. Marinosci: *Homogeneous geodesics of three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups*, Mediterr. J. Math. 3 (2006) 467–481.

[11] É. Cartan:*Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 54 (1926) 214–264. É. Cartan:*Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann. II*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 55 (1927) 114–134.

[12] Z. Dušek: *Explicit geodesic graphs on some H-type groups*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. II, Suppl. 69 (2002) 77–88.

[13] Z. Dušek: *The existence of homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian and affine manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics. 60(5) (2010) 687–689.

[14] Z. Dušek and O. Kowalski: *Geodesic graphs on the* 13*-dimensional group of Heisenberg type*, Math. Nachr. (254-255) (2003) 87–96.

[15] Z. Dušek, O. Kowalski and S. Nikčević: *New examples of g.o. spaces in dimension* 7, Differential Geom. Appl. 21 (2004) 65–78.

[16] Z. Dušek, O. Kowalski and Z. Vlášek : *Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous affine manifolds*, Result. Math. 54 (2009) 273–288.

[17] C. S. Gordon: *Homogeneous manifolds whose geodesics are orbits*, in: Topics in Geometry in Memory of Joseph D'Atri, Birkhä user, Basel, 1996, 155–174.
[18] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: *Foundations of Differential Geometry II*, Interscience Publisher, NewYork, 1969.
[19] O. Kowalski, F. Prüfer and L. Vanhecke: *D'Atri spaces*, in: Topics in Geometry, Birkhüser, Boston, 1996, pp.241–284.
[20] O. Kowalski and J. Szenthe: *On the existence of homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 81 (2000) 209–214.
[21] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke: *Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 5 (1991) 189–246.
[22] D. Latifi: *Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Finsler spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007) 1411–1433.
[23] R.A. Marinosci: *Homogeneous geodesics in a three-dimensional Lie group*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 43(2) (2002) 261–270.
[24] J. Szenthe: *Homogeneous geodesics of left-invariant metrics*, Univ. Iagel. Acta. Math. 38 (2000) 99–103.
[25] J. Szenthe: *On the set of homogeneous geodesics of a left-invariant metric*, Univ. Iagel. Acta. Math. 40 (2002) 171–181.
[26] H. Tamaru: *Riemannian g.o. spaces fibered over irreducible symmetric spaces*, Osaka J. Math. 36 (1999) 835–851.
[27] H. C. Wang: *Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure*, Amer. J. Math. 76(1) (1954) 1–32. 177–194.
[28] W. Ziller: *Weakly symmetric spaces*, Topics in Geometry: In Memory of Joseph D'Atri (Ed. S. Gindikin), Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations 20, Birkhauser-Verlag, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996, 355–368.
[29] Y. Wang and G.S. Zhao: *Equigeodesics on generalized flag manifolds with* $b_2(G/K) = 1$, Results-Math. 64 (2013) 77-90.

University of Patras, Department of Mathematics, GR-26500 Patras, Greece
E-mail address: arvanito@math.upatras.gr

Sichuan university of Science and Engineering, Zigong, 643000, China
E-mail address: wangyu_813@163.com, * Corresponding author.

Sichuan university, Chengdu, 610064, China
E-mail address: gszhao@scu.edu.cn